

Erratum: Adversarial Attack and Defense of Structured Prediction Models

Wenjuan Han¹, Liwen Zhang^{2,3,4}, Yong Jiang⁵, Kewei Tu²

¹School of Computing, National University of Singapore, Singapore

²School of Information Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University

³Shanghai Institute of Microsystem and Information Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

⁵Alibaba DAMO Academy, Alibaba Group

dcshanw@nus.edu.sg

{zhanglw1, tukw}@shanghaitech.edu.cn

yongjiang.jy@alibaba-inc.com

Some numbers of Table 1 in Page 6 are mis-reported. The origin table is:

	Generation Fluency (Perplexity ↓)	Token level Attacking Success Rate			Sentence level Attacking Success Rate		
		<i>Parser B</i>	<i>Parser C</i>	<i>Parsers B&C</i>	<i>Parser B</i>	<i>Parser C</i>	<i>Parsers B&C</i>
Origin	156.02	3.2	3.6	4.6	34.2	35.3	40.7
Baseline	217.02	3.8	4.2	6.5	55.6	57.5	71.7
Ours	174.16	13.9	19.2	24.1	87.4	86.5	89.0

It should be:

	Generation Fluency (Perplexity ↓)	Token level Attacking Success Rate			Sentence level Attacking Success Rate		
		<i>Parser B</i>	<i>Parser C</i>	<i>Parsers B&C</i>	<i>Parser B</i>	<i>Parser C</i>	<i>Parsers B&C</i>
Origin	156.02	3.2	3.6	4.6	34.2	35.3	40.7
Baseline	217.02	3.7	10.1	11.5	37.0	64.1	67.4
Ours	174.16	13.9	19.2	24.1	87.4	86.5	89.0

The 6th line of section 4.5 also needs correction. The original text is:

... success rate 17.5% and 17.3% on Parser ...

It should be:

... success rate **13.4%** and **21.6%** on Parser ...

The error does not change our conclusion in the paper. This error has been fixed in the version of the paper available in arXiv and in the authors' homepages, but not in the version in the ACL anthology. We apologize for this error.